

Parameter estimation

The function $S(\cdot)$ is known up to the value of some parameter $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$, i.e., $S(x) = S(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, x)$, the observed process is

$$dX_t = S(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t, \quad X_0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

and the likelihood ratio function

$$L(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}; X^T) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^T \frac{S(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, X_t)}{\sigma(X_t)^2} dX_t - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \frac{S(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, X_t)^2}{\sigma(X_t)^2} dt \right\}.$$

Maximum likelihood approach. We define the MLE $\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_T$ as one of the solutions of the equation

$$L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_T, X^T) = \sup_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \Theta} L(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, X^T).$$

Example. Let

$$dX_t = [\vartheta h(X_t) + g(X_t)] dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

where $\vartheta \in \Theta = (\alpha, \beta)$. Then the MLE

$$\hat{\vartheta}_T = \alpha \mathbb{I}_{\{\eta_T \leq \alpha\}} + \eta_T \mathbb{I}_{\{\alpha < \eta_T < \beta\}} + \beta \mathbb{I}_{\{\eta_T \geq \beta\}}$$

where

$$\eta_T = \frac{\int_0^T \frac{h(X_t)}{\sigma(X_t)^2} [dX_t - g(X_t) dt]}{\int_0^T \left(\frac{h(X_t)}{\sigma(X_t)} \right)^2 dt}.$$

We have

$$\hat{\vartheta}_T = \vartheta + \frac{\int_0^T \frac{h(X_t)}{\sigma(X_t)} dW_t}{\int_0^T \left(\frac{h(X_t)}{\sigma(X_t)} \right)^2 dt} (1 + o(1))$$

Further

$$\sqrt{T} \left(\hat{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta \right) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \frac{h(X_t)}{\sigma(X_t)} dW_t}{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left(\frac{h(X_t)}{\sigma(X_t)} \right)^2 dt} (1 + o(1))$$

By the LLN and CLT

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left(\frac{h(X_t)}{\sigma(X_t)} \right)^2 dt \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}_\vartheta \left(\frac{h(\xi)}{\sigma(\xi)} \right)^2 = \mathbf{I}(\vartheta),$$
$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \frac{h(X_t)}{\sigma(X_t)} dW_t \Longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{I}(\vartheta)).$$

Hence

$$\sqrt{T} \left(\hat{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta \right) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \left(0, \mathbf{I}(\vartheta)^{-1} \right).$$

Bayesian approach. Suppose that the unknown parameter ϑ is random variable with the density function $p(\theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta = (\alpha, \beta)$.

Then the bayesian estimator (BE) $\tilde{\vartheta}_T$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\tilde{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta \right)^2 = \inf_{\bar{\vartheta}_T} \mathbb{E} \left(\bar{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta \right)^2 .$$

Here

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\bar{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta \right)^2 = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \mathbf{E}_{\theta} \left(\bar{\vartheta}_T - \theta \right)^2 p(\theta) \, d\theta$$

The BE is

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_T = \mathbf{E} \left(\vartheta | X^T \right) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \theta p(\theta | X^T) \, d\theta = \frac{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \theta p(\theta) L(\theta, X^T) \, d\theta}{\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} p(\theta) L(\theta, X^T) \, d\theta}$$

Example. Suppose that

$$dX_t = \vartheta h(X_t) dt + dW_t, \quad X_0 = x, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

where $\vartheta \in R_+$ and $p(\vartheta) = \lambda e^{-\lambda\vartheta}$, $\vartheta \geq 0$. Then the direct calculation provides

$$\tilde{\vartheta}_T = \frac{\Delta_T - \lambda}{I_T} + \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{I_T}} \frac{\exp\left\{-\frac{(\Delta_T - \lambda)^2}{2I_T}\right\}}{1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\lambda - \Delta_T}{\sqrt{I_T}}\right)},$$

where $\Phi(a) = \mathbf{P}\{\zeta < a\}$, $\zeta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and

$$\Delta_T = \int_0^T h(X_t) dX_t, \quad I_T = \int_0^T h(X_t)^2 dt$$

Using LLN and CLT we obtain

$$\sqrt{T} \left(\tilde{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta \right) \implies \mathcal{N} \left(0, \left(\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} h(\xi)^2 \right)^{-1} \right)$$

Minimum distance approach.

a) **EDF** Let us introduce the *empirical distribution function*

$$\hat{F}_T(x) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{I}_{\{X_t < x\}} dt$$

and the MDE ϑ_T^* as

$$\left\| \hat{F}_T(\cdot) - F(\vartheta_T^*, \cdot) \right\| = \inf_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \left\| \hat{F}_T(\cdot) - F(\vartheta, \cdot) \right\|$$

Where $\|\cdot\|$ is $\mathcal{L}_2(\mu)$ norm. This estimator (under regularity conditions) is consistent and asymptotically normal

$$\sqrt{T}(\vartheta_T^* - \vartheta) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{d}_F(\vartheta)^2\right)$$

but it is not asymptotically efficient.

The proof of the asymptotic normality is based on the representation

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{T} \left(\hat{F}_T(x) - F(x) \right) &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{X_0}^{X_T} \frac{F(v \wedge x) - F(v) F(x)}{\sigma(v)^2 f(v)} dv \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T \frac{F(X_t \wedge x) - F(X_t) F_S(x)}{\sigma(X_t) f(X_t)} dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

it is asymptotically normal

$$\mathcal{L}_S \left\{ \sqrt{T} \left(\hat{F}_T(x) - F(x) \right) \right\} \implies \mathcal{N} \left(0, d_F(\vartheta, x)^2 \right).$$

Here, $F(x) = F(\vartheta, x)$, $f(x) = f(\vartheta, x)$

$$d_F(\vartheta, x)^2 = 4 \mathbf{E}_\vartheta \left(\frac{F(\xi \wedge x) - F(\xi) F(x)}{\sigma(\xi) f(\xi)} \right)^2 < \infty,$$

b) **LTE** Let us introduce the *local time estimator* of the density

$$\hat{f}_T(x) = \frac{2}{T\sigma(x)^2} \int_0^T \mathbb{I}_{\{X_t < x\}} dX_t$$

and the MDE ϑ_T^{**} as

$$\left\| \hat{f}_T(\cdot) - f(\vartheta_T^{**}, \cdot) \right\| = \inf_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \left\| \hat{f}_T(\cdot) - f(\vartheta, \cdot) \right\|$$

This estimator (under regularity conditions) is consistent and asymptotically normal

$$\sqrt{T}(\vartheta_T^{**} - \vartheta) \Rightarrow \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathbf{d}_f(\vartheta)^2\right)$$

but it is not asymptotically efficient.

Method of moments. Let us denote $m(\vartheta) = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} g(\xi)$ and suppose that $m(\theta)$ is strictly monotone function. Then the estimator of the method of moments (EMM) $\bar{\vartheta}_T$ is defined by the relation

$$m(\bar{\vartheta}_T) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T g(X_t) dt \equiv \hat{m}_T.$$

Let $h(x) = m^{-1}(x)$, then $\bar{\vartheta}_T = h(\hat{m}_T)$

$$\sqrt{T}(\bar{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta) = \frac{h'(m(\vartheta))}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T [g(X_t) - m(\vartheta)] dt \implies \mathcal{N}(0, D(\vartheta)^2)$$

Example. In the case of the Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process

$$dX_t = -(a X_t - b) dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad X_0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

with $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} = (a, b)$ and $a > 0$ we take $\mathbf{g}(x) = (x, x^2)$. We have $\mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}\xi = b/a$ and $\mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}\xi^2 = b^2/a^2 + \sigma^2/2a$. Hence the EMM $\bar{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_T = (\bar{a}_T, \bar{b}_T)$ is

$$\bar{a}_T = \frac{\sigma^2}{2 (Y_2 - Y_1^2)}, \quad \bar{b}_T = \frac{Y_1 \sigma^2}{2 (Y_2 - Y_1^2)},$$

where

$$Y_1 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \rightarrow \frac{b}{a}, \quad Y_2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t^2 dt \rightarrow \frac{b^2}{a^2} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2a}.$$

Therefore this estimator is consistent. Using CLT for ordinary integrals it is possible to prove its asymptotic normality.

Example. Let

$$dX_t = -\text{sgn}(X_t - \theta) dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

then the invariant density $f(\vartheta, x) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} e^{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2}|x-\theta|}$ and $m(\vartheta) = \mathbf{E}_{\vartheta}\xi = \vartheta$. Hence the EMM

$$\bar{\vartheta}_T = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X_t dt \longrightarrow \vartheta$$

and is asymptotically normal

$$\sqrt{T}(\bar{\vartheta}_T - \vartheta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T [X_t - \theta] dt \implies \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{5}{4\sigma^2}\right)$$

Trajectory fitting approach. Let us introduce a family of stochastic processes

$$\hat{X}_t(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = X_0 + \int_0^t S(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}, X_s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \Theta$$

and define the trajectory fitting estimator (TFE) as

$$\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_T^* = \arg \inf_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta} \in \Theta} \int_0^T \left[X_t - \hat{X}_t(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \right]^2 dt.$$

This estimator (under regularity conditions) is consistent and asymptotically normal. Important condition is

$$\mathbf{E}_{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}} S(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1, \xi) \neq 0, \quad \text{if} \quad \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \neq \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_1.$$

Example. In the linear case

$$dX_t = -(a X_t - b) dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad X_0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

the TFE of the parameter $\vartheta = (a, b)$ is not consistent because

$$\mathbf{E}_{\vartheta} (a_1 \xi - b_1) = \frac{a_1 b}{a} - b_1 = 0, \quad \text{for } \vartheta_1 = (\kappa a, \kappa b)$$

and all $\kappa > 0$. But if $\vartheta = a$ and $b \neq 0$ is known, then the TFE

$$a_T^* = -\frac{\int_0^T (X_t - X_0 - bt) Y_t dt}{\int_0^T Y_t^2 dt}, \quad Y_t = \int_0^t X_s ds$$

can have good properties. In particular, we have

$$a_T^* = a - \sigma \frac{\int_0^T W_t Y_t dt}{\int_0^T Y_t^2 dt}, \quad Z_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t X_s ds \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}_a \xi = \frac{b}{a}.$$